



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

. 1	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/928,268	08/10/2001	Stephen Palmer	0455/NP	6603
	22930	7590 06/09/2004	EXAMINER		
	· - · ·	IMON ARNOLD & V	LIU, SAMUEL W		
	BOX 34 1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20004		1653	

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	09/928,268	PALMER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Samuel W Liu	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation in the statutory minimum of thirt in the statutory minimum of thirt in the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory are statutory. In the statutory of the statutory minimum of the statutory of the	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		•
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und 	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-52</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-52</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	drawn from consideration.	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s)	— ·	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims

Claims 27-52 are pending.

Applicants' amendment filed 23 April 2004, which cancels claims 1-26, amends claim 27 and adds claims 28-52, and applicants' request for extension of time of one month have been entered.

Election/restriction

Applicants' election of Group V, claim 27, in the response filed 23 April 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Since claims 28-52 are drawn into the elected invention, i.e., a method of collecting oocytes for in vitro fertilization, claims 28-52 together with the elected claim 27 are under examination to the extent that they are drawn to the elected invention.

Specification/Claim/ Objections

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In page 5, line 1, "hCG" should be spelled out in full for the first instance of use. See also page 6, line 5, "PDE".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,268

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 27-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification does not describe (i) all phosphodiesterase (PDE) inhibitors have ability of inducing ovulation; the specification only describes and provides working examples for PDE inhibitors named compounds 1-4; and (ii) modulator, which includes inhibitor and stimulator, of cAMP level for inductions of ovulation.

Thus, applicants are not in possession of a method of collecting oocyte for in vitro fertilization comprising administering to a subject a non-polypeptide cAMP level modulator, whereby ovulation is induced, and collecting oocytes from said female. Applicants are in possession of the method of inducing ovulation comprising administering to a subject a PDE specific inhibitor, i.e., compound 1 or 2, or 3 or 4.

There are 11 PDE subtypes; of them, PDE 4 and PDE 7 are cAMP specific (i.e., specifically hydrolyzes cAMP phosphodiester bond) while PED4 is only cAMP specific; By contrast, PDE5 and 6 are cGMP specific (see Table 1 of Travadi, J. N. et al. (2003) *Pediat. Pulmonol.* 36, 529-535). Because (i) structure and function of PDE superfamily is of complexity (see Conti, M. (2000) *Mol. Endocrinol.* 14, 1317-1327) and PDE activity is highly diverse; (ii) the PDE substrates, i.e., cGMP and cAMP involve in distinct cell signaling, and (iii) the current invention is unrelated to modulation of cGMP level in the subject, applicants need to provide written description for inhibitors of the PDE superfamily in order for enablement for the claimed

Art Unit: 1653

method. Moreover, Conti et al. (US Pat. No. 6110471) have shown that the PDE3-specific inhibitor prevents oocytes maturation thereby inhibits ovulation (see the patent claims 1-3 and 14 and columns 3-4), i.e., the PDE3 specific inhibitor has the opposite role in ovulation compared to PDE4 specific inhibitor. This indicates that not all PDE inhibitors have ability of inducing ovulation. Thus, without written description, one cannot know a compound inhibiting a PDE (e.g., PDE3) can elevate a cAMP level; thereby promote ovulation induction. Therefore, applicants are not in possession of the method of inducing ovulation comprising administering to a subject a PDE inhibitor (*a genus*) that encompasses any inhibitors of PDE subtypes, i.e., PDE1 to PDE3 and PDE5 to PDE11.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the written description inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116.). Consequently, Applicant was not in possession of the instant claimed invention. See University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. 43 USPQ2d 1398.

Applicant is directed to the Revised Interim Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 33-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 38 recites "related steroidogenic enzymes"; wherein "related" is unclear as to what is related.

Claim 33 recites "comprising administering to said female an agent..."; the claim does not make it clear as to at which time point, i.e., before, or, after or simultaneously the administering is carried out. See also claims 41 and 46. The dependent claims are also rejected.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Wei Liu whose telephone number is 571-272-0949. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on weekdays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low, can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 308-4242 or 703 872-9306 (official) or 703 872-9307 (after final). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Samuel Wei Liu, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1653, Examiner

May 18, 2004

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D

Haver (chane Car

PRIMARY EXAMINER